

2005 STUDY ON LAND USE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENT FINANCE

Prepared by

Dr. William Beyers, University of Washington, and the Research Division of the Washington State Department of Revenue under the direction of the Washington State Office of Financial Management

Washington State Office of Financial Management
Victor Moore, Director

December 2005



STATE OF WASHINGTON
OFFICE OF FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT

Insurance Building, PO Box 43113 • Olympia, Washington 98504-3113 • (360) 902-0555

December 21, 2005

TO: The Honorable Jim Kastama, Chair
Senate Government Operations & Elections Committee

The Honorable Geoff Simpson, Chair
House Local Government Committee

FROM: Victor A. Moore, Director *V.A.M.*

SUBJECT: 2005 STUDY ON LAND USE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENT FINANCE

The 2004 Supplemental Operating Budget required the Office of Financial Management (OFM) to study how current trends in city and county revenue sources and expenditures impact land use decisions by local governments and their ability to meet the goals of the Growth Management Act.

The information presented in this study was compiled by Dr. William Beyers of the University of Washington and the Washington State Department of Revenue under the direction of Dr. Irv Lefberg, Chief of Forecasting, at OFM. If you, your committee members, or your staff have any questions about this report, please contact Dr. Lefberg at (360) 902-0590.

cc: Governor Christine Gregoire
Senate Government Operations & Elections Committee Members
House Local Government Committee Members



Table of Contents

	Page
List of Tables	v
List of Figures.....	vi
Acknowledgements	ix
Chapter 1. Executive Summary and Study Overview.....	1
Chapter 2. Goals of the Study	3
Chapter 3. Local Government Finance Trends in Case Studies.....	6
<u>Jurisdictions</u>	
Unincorporated Asotin City.....	6
City of Asotin	9
City of Clarkston.....	11
Unincorporated Clallam County	13
City of Forks	15
City of Port Angeles	17
City of Sequim	19
Unincorporated Clark County	21
City of Battle Ground.....	24
City of Camas	27
City of Vancouver	30
City of Washougal.....	33
City of Yacolt.....	36
Unincorporated Pierce County	38
City of Bonney Lake	41
City of Puyallup.....	44
City of Steilacoom.....	47
City of Tacoma	49
City of University Place.....	51
Unincorporated Spokane County	54
City of Deer Park	57
City of Fairfield.....	60
City of Medical Lake	62
City of Spokane	65
City of Spokane Valley.....	67
Unincorporated Yakima County	68
City of Granger.....	71
City of Harrah.....	74
City of Selah.....	76
City of Toppenish	79
City of Yakima.....	81
Section Summary.....	84

Table of Contents – Continued	Page
Chapter 4. Report of Survey of Local Governments Regarding Finance Trends and the Role of Annexations and Incorporations within the Framework of the Growth Management Act	86
Question 1: Verification of Baseline Data.....	86
Question 2: Revenue Impacts of Annexations and Incorporations.....	86
Question 3: Expenditure Impacts of Annexations and Incorporations	87
Question 4: The Importance of Factors Other Than Annexations and Incorporations on Revenue and Expenditures.....	87
Question 5: Other Factors Deemed to be Critical in Understanding Local Government Finance Between 1994 and 2003	89
Question 6: Decisions to Reject Annexations Due to Perceived Costs of Services Exceeding Perceived Revenues	90
Question 7: The Use of Impact Fees Allowed Under the Growth Management Act	90
Question 8: Other Comments Offered by Local Governments.....	90
Chapter 5. Unused Capacity of Selected Local Government Tax Sources	91
Chapter 6. 2005 Legislation Providing Additional Funds for Local Governments	95
Chapter 7. Local Government Funding Mechanisms in Other States.....	97
Appendix I: Detailed Local Government Finance Tables For Each Jurisdiction	105
Appendix II: Detailed Responses to the Survey of Local Governments.....	137

List of Tables

Page

Table 1	Revenue, Expenditure, Population Annexation Trends in Unincorporated Asotin County	8
Table 2	Revenue, Expenditure, Population Annexation Trends in the City of Asotin	10
Table 3	Revenue, Expenditure, Population Annexation Trends in the City of Clarkston.....	12
Table 4	Revenue, Expenditure, Population Annexation Trends in Unincorporated Clallam County	14
Table 5	Revenue, Expenditure, Population Annexation Trends in the City of Forks.....	16
Table 6	Revenue, Expenditure, Population Annexation Trends in the City of Port Angeles	18
Table 7	Revenue, Expenditure, Population Annexation Trends in the City of Sequim	20
Table 8	Revenue, Expenditure, Population Annexation Trends in Unincorporated Clark County	23
Table 9	Revenue, Expenditure, Population Annexation Trends in the City of Battle Ground	26
Table 10	Revenue, Expenditure, Population Annexation Trends in the City of Camas	29
Table 11	Revenue, Expenditure, Population Annexation Trends in the City of Vancouver.....	32
Table 12	Revenue, Expenditure, Population Annexation Trends in the City of Washougal.....	35
Table 13	Revenue, Expenditure, Population Annexation Trends in the City of Yacolt.....	37
Table 14	Revenue, Expenditure, Population Annexation Trends in Unincorporated Pierce County	40
Table 15	Revenue, Expenditure, Population Annexation Trends in the City of Bonney Lake	43
Table 16	Revenue, Expenditure, Population Annexation Trends in the City of Puyallup.....	46
Table 17	Revenue, Expenditure, Population Annexation Trends in the City of Steilacoom.....	48
Table 18	Revenue, Expenditure, Population Annexation Trends in the City of Tacoma	50
Table 19	Revenue, Expenditure, Population Annexation Trends in the City of University Place.....	53
Table 20	Revenue, Expenditure, Population Annexation Trends in Unincorporated Spokane County	56
Table 21	Revenue, Expenditure, Population Annexation Trends in the City of Deer Park	59
Table 22	Revenue, Expenditure, Population Annexation Trends in the City of Fairfield	61
Table 23	Revenue, Expenditure, Population Annexation Trends in the City of Medical Lake	64
Table 24	Revenue, Expenditure, Population Annexation Trends in the City of Spokane.....	66
Table 25	Revenue, Expenditure, Population and Incorporation Data for the City of Spokane.....	67
Table 26	Revenue, Expenditure, Population Annexation Trends in Unincorporated Yakima County	70
Table 27	Revenue, Expenditure, Population Annexation Trends in the City of Granger.....	73
Table 28	Revenue, Expenditure, Population Annexation Trends in the City of Harrah.....	75
Table 29	Revenue, Expenditure, Population Annexation Trends in the City of Selah.....	78
Table 30	Revenue, Expenditure, Population Annexation Trends in the City of Toppenish.....	80
Table 31	Revenue, Expenditure, Population Annexation Trends in the City of Yakima.....	83
Table 32	Revenue, Expenditure, Population Annexation or Incorporations, and Local Government Finance Trends	85

List of Figures

Page

Figure 1	Case Study Counties and Cities	4
Figure 2	Revenue and Expenditure Trends in Unincorporated Asotin County.....	6
Figure 3	Revenue and Population Trends Unincorporated Asotin County	7
Figure 4	Expenditure Trends in Unincorporated Asotin County	7
Figure 5	Revenue and Expenditure Trends in the City of Asotin	9
Figure 6	Revenue and Population Trends in the City of Asotin.....	9
Figure 7	Expenditure Trends in the City of Asotin	9
Figure 8	Revenue and Expenditure Trends in the City of Clarkston.....	11
Figure 9	Revenue and Population Trends in the City of Clarkston	11
Figure 10	Expenditure Trends in the City of Clarkston.....	11
Figure 11	Revenue and Expenditure Trends in Unincorporated Clallam County	13
Figure 12	Revenue and Population Trends in Unincorporated Clallam County	13
Figure 13	Expenditure Trends in Unincorporated Clallam County	13
Figure 14	Revenue and Expenditure Trends in the City of Forks.....	15
Figure 15	Revenue and Population Trends in the City of Forks.....	15
Figure 16	Expenditure Trends in the City of Forks.....	15
Figure 17	Revenue and Expenditure Trends in the City of Port Angeles	17
Figure 18	Revenue and Population Trends in the City of Port Angeles	17
Figure 19	Expenditure Trends in the City of Port Angeles	17
Figure 20	Revenue and Expenditure Trends in the City of Sequim	19
Figure 21	Revenue and Population Trends in the City of Sequim	19
Figure 22	Expenditure Trends in the City of Sequim	19
Figure 23	Revenue and Expenditure Trends in Unincorporated Clark County	21
Figure 24	Revenue and Population Trends in Unincorporated Clark County	21
Figure 25	Expenditure Trends in Unincorporated Clark County	22
Figure 26	Revenue and Expenditure Trends in the City of Battle Ground	24
Figure 27	Revenue and Population Trends in the City of Battle Ground	24
Figure 28	Expenditure Trends in the City of Battle Ground	25
Figure 29	Revenue and Expenditure Trends in the City of Camas.....	27
Figure 30	Revenue and Population Trends in the City of Camas.....	27
Figure 31	Expenditure Trends in the City of Camas.....	28
Figure 32	Revenue and Expenditure Trends in the City of Vancouver.....	30
Figure 33	Revenue and Population Trends in the City of Vancouver	30
Figure 34	Expenditure in the City of Vancouver	31

List of Figures – Continued

Page

Figure 35	Revenue and Expenditure Trends in the City of Washougal.....	33
Figure 36	Revenue and Population Trends in the City of Washougal	33
Figure 37	Expenditure Trends in the City of Washougal.....	34
Figure 38	Revenue and Expenditure Trends in the Town of Yacolt.....	36
Figure 39	Revenue and Population Trends in the Town of Yacolt.....	36
Figure 40	Expenditure Trends in the Town of Yacolt.....	36
Figure 41	Revenue and Expenditure Trends in Unincorporated Pierce County	38
Figure 42	Revenue and Population Trends in Unincorporated Pierce County.....	38
Figure 43	Expenditure Trends in Unincorporated Pierce County	39
Figure 44	Revenue and Expenditure Trends in the City of Bonney Lake	41
Figure 45	Revenue and Population Trends in the City of Bonney Lake	41
Figure 46	Expenditure Trends in the City of Bonney Lake	42
Figure 47	Revenue and Expenditure Trends in the City of Puyallup	44
Figure 48	Revenue and Population Trends in the City of Puyallup	44
Figure 49	Expenditure Trends in the City of Puyallup	45
Figure 50	Revenue and Expenditure Trends in the City of Steilacoom.....	47
Figure 51	Revenue and Population Trends in the City of Steilacoom	47
Figure 52	Expenditure Trends in the City of Steilacoom.....	47
Figure 53	Revenue and Expenditure Trends in the City of Tacoma	49
Figure 54	Revenue and Population Trends in the City of Tacoma.....	49
Figure 55	Expenditure Trends in the City of Tacoma	49
Figure 56	Revenue and Expenditure Trends in the City of University Place	51
Figure 57	Revenue and Population Trends in the City of University Place	51
Figure 58	Expenditure Trends in the City of University Place	52
Figure 59	Revenue and Expenditure in Unincorporated Spokane County.....	54
Figure 60	Revenue and Population Trends in Unincorporated Spokane County.....	54
Figure 61	Expenditure Trends in Unincorporated Spokane County	55
Figure 62	Revenue and Expenditure Trends in the City of Deer Park	57
Figure 63	Revenue and Population Trends in the City of Deer Park	57
Figure 64	Expenditure Trends in the City of Deer Park	58
Figure 65	Revenue and Expenditure Trends in the City of Fairfield	60
Figure 66	Revenue and Population Trends n the City of Fairfield	60
Figure 67	Expenditure Trends in the City of Fairfield	60
Figure 68	Revenue and Expenditure Trends in the City of Medical Lake.....	62

List of Figures – Continued

Page

Figure 69	Revenue and Population Trends in the City of Medical Lake.....	62
Figure 70	Expenditure Trends in the City of Medical Lake.....	63
Figure 71	Revenue and Expenditure Trends in the City of Spokane.....	65
Figure 72	Revenue and Population Trends in the City of Spokane	65
Figure 73	Expenditure Trends in the City of Spokane.....	65
Figure 74	Revenue and Expenditure Trends in Unincorporated Yakima County	68
Figure 75	Revenue and Population Trends in Unincorporated Yakima County.....	68
Figure 76	Expenditure Trends in Unincorporated Yakima County.....	69
Figure 77	Revenue and Expenditure Trends in the City of Granger.....	71
Figure 78	Revenue and Population Trends in the City of Granger	71
Figure 79	Expenditure Trends in the City of Granger.....	72
Figure 80	Revenue and Expenditure Trends in the City of Harrah	74
Figure 81	Revenue and Population Trends in the City of Harrah	74
Figure 82	Expenditure Trends in the City of Harrah	74
Figure 83	Revenue and Expenditure Trends in the City of Selah.....	76
Figure 84	Revenue and Population Trends in the City of Selah	76
Figure 85	Expenditure Trends in the City of Selah.....	77
Figure 86	Revenue and Expenditure Trends in the City of Toppenish.....	79
Figure 87	Revenue and Population Trends in the City of Toppenish.....	79
Figure 88	Expenditure Trends in the City of Toppenish.....	79
Figure 89	Revenue and Expenditure Trends in the City of Yakima.....	81
Figure 90	Revenue and Population Trends in the City of Yakima	81
Figure 91	Expenditure Trends in the City of Yakima.....	82

Acknowledgements

The survey and data portions of this study were prepared through an inter-agency agreement with the University of Washington. The authors from the University of Washington were Professor William B. Beyers, Chair of the Department of Geography, and Anne Bonds and Derik Andreoli, also of the Department of Geography. These authors would like to extend the following acknowledgements:

“This study would not have been possible without the help of staff at the Office of Financial Management (OFM) and the Department of Community, Trade and Economic Development (CTED), and without the assistance of the local governments included in this study. Irv Lefberg, Jim Schmidt, Carol Jenner, and John Bauer were instrumental in helping us conceptualize and execute this study. Nancy Ousley at CTED was crucial in helping select the local governments included in this study. Rachel Hughes with OFM helped with all manner of logistics, including contacts with the local governments, and arranging of meetings and telephone conference calls. We must also thank the staff in the 25 cities and six counties that provided responses to the questionnaire used to help clarify the impact of annexations and incorporations, compared to other factors influencing their finances.”

